

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/809,122	Applicant(s) GREGORIUS ET AL.		
	Examiner HIRDEPAL SINGH	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Art Unit 2611 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 2611	
Art Unit 2611				

All Participants:

(1) HIRDEPAL SINGH.

(2) Richard E. Jenkins.

Date of Interview: 27 August 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Minor informalities in the claims were discussed, Applicant agreed for an examiner's Amendment to fix the formal matters in the claims.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)